

# Operational risk management

Rigorous risk management is critical to the attainment of our strategic objectives and it continues to remain a key part of our business model.

## Our risk management framework

**The Group's policy is to ensure that all risks are identified, evaluated and an appropriate response determined prior to any commitment being made to any other party.** This policy is supported by clear guidance on process and procedures, risks that are unacceptable to the Group and practical guidance for the management of risk at all levels throughout the business. The diagram below sets out an overview of our risk management framework.

The Board continues to be responsible for determining the Group's risk appetite in pursuit of its strategic objectives and for maintaining a robust system of risk management (including regular reviews of principal risks) to mitigate any potential impacts associated with these risks.



## Our risk management process

The Group has a long-established process and methodology for the identification, quantification, monitoring and management of the principal risks associated with its operations. The Group Head of Risk is responsible for advising on strategic risk issues and for the maintenance of a consistent approach to risk management across the Group. Each of our business units has appointed a Business Group Risk Manager who is a member of the Group Risk Forum, which meets on a quarterly basis and is chaired by the Group Head of Risk. Business Unit Managing Directors are responsible for the day-to-day management of risks relating to their businesses and for the regular reporting of these to the Group Head of Risk.

In order to ensure that risk management is addressed at the front end of our operations, individual projects are required to appoint Project Risk Managers who are responsible for escalating risk issues to Business Unit Risk Managers. The Group Head of Risk identifies the Group's principal risks based on the output from strategic risk lists submitted by the business units. These risks are then reviewed by the key functional heads and by the risk forum as a further check prior to their submission to the Board for approval. The Group's assessment of principal risks is taken into account in the development of internal audit plans and as part of its evaluation of any new strategic initiatives.

The potential impact arising from each risk is assessed by taking into account the potential cost and the likelihood associated with the crystallisation of each risk. Assessment of cost takes into account both the potential financial and reputational costs. Business Units are required to assess the gross impact associated with each risk and then identify potential mitigation measures, which are taken into account before arriving at a net impact assessment. Both the gross and the net impact assessment relating to each risk is reported to the Group Head of Risk. Assessments of the impact and likelihood for each risk are categorised into high, medium and low based on standard definitions, which are applied across the Group.

During 2016 the Audit Committee reviewed and reported to the Board on the effectiveness of the Group's internal control and risk management systems in February and August. In addition, the Group's principal risks were reviewed by the Board in March and August. These reviews included an assessment of the Group's overall appetite for risk relative to its current assessment of the likelihood and impacts associated with its principal risks. Changes to the Group's principal risks during 2016 include the addition of the potential impact of Brexit and the removal of the potential impact of low oil prices on future demand for our services.

The Board also monitors the level of risk taken on individual major projects using a model known as the Risk Management Matrix. Following their reviews, the Board and the Audit Committee concluded that the level of risk associated with the Group's principal risks is currently consistent with the Group's overall appetite in relation to these risks. The 'heat map' on page 32 sets out the positioning of our principal risks by impact and likelihood both before any mitigation measures are taken into account and after the impacts of mitigation measures are taken into account.

The Directors confirm that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the Group, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity.

## Viability statement

In accordance with provision C.2.2 of the UK Corporate Governance Code, the Directors have assessed the prospect of the Company over a longer period than the 12 months required under provision C.1.3 of the Code in relation to the adoption of the going concern basis. The Board conducted this review for a period of three years, which was selected for the following reasons:

- The Group's strategic business plan covers a three-year period.
- The construction programmes associated with a majority of the Group's more significant projects often do not exceed a period of more than three years.
- Given its business model and the nature of its markets, the degree of confidence that can be placed on the Group's future revenues diminishes significantly for periods beyond the next three years.

The three-year business plan includes information in relation to the Group's revenues, profits, cash flows, dividends, net debt, and other key financial and non-financial metrics. The business plan includes a level of cover to provide against trading risks and the resulting metrics are subject to sensitivity analysis to illustrate the impact of future deviations in the Group's liquidity position. The Board has tested the outputs from this plan against the potential impacts from the Group's key strategic risks both individually and in unison.

On the basis of both reasonably probable and more extreme downside scenarios, the Directors believe that they have a reasonable expectation that the Company will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the three-year period of their assessment.